

Review of Collective Effects

Sarah Cousineau, Jeff Holmes, Yan Zhang

USPAS January, 2011



Collective Effects

So far, we have treated only the force of external magnets and fields on a particle. Collective effects in general take into account the effects of the beam's own Coulomb force field on itself and it's environment.

In a very general sense, we can break collective effects down into three catagories: Beam-self, beam-beam, and beam-environment.



Collective effects and instabilities caused by collective effects is an entire topic of its own. Here we only briefly review some of the more common effects.



Space Charge Fields

One of the most studied collective effects is the beam's own Coulomb field on a particle in the beam (beam-self interaction). In accelerator jargon, this is called the "space charge" field, or the "Space charge" effect.

We can model a beam as a long cylinder. Consider the total force (E + B fields) felt on a "test particle" within this beam:



$$F_{total} = F_E + F_B = \frac{e^2 N^2}{2\pi \varepsilon_o a^2 \gamma} r$$

(lab frame)

a = radius of the beam N = Number particles / length

Space Charge Force

It is a straight-forward matter to plug this force into our Hill's equation of motion, and asses the effect:

$$x''+K(s) = \frac{F_{\text{space charge}}}{m\gamma\beta^2c^2} \quad \text{(Weidemann 18.69, simplified)}}$$
$$x''+\left(K(s) - \frac{2r_oN}{\beta^2\gamma^3a^2}\right)x = 0 \quad r_o = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_o mc^2}$$

Notice that the space charge term acts against the focusing of the lattice!

This should be no surprise: The more we focus the beam, the higher the particle density and the larger the Coulomb field repulsion, i.e., the term a^2 in the denominator decreases.

Space Charge Effect

Since the space charge term scales as x, we can treat it in a similar fashion to a quadrupole error term. For a Gaussian beam, we have:

$$\Delta v = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \beta(s) \Delta K(s)_{\text{space charge}} ds$$

algebra...
$$\Delta v = -\frac{\pi N r_o R}{2\varepsilon_n \beta \gamma^2} \quad \text{where} \quad \varepsilon_N = \frac{\pi a^2 (\gamma \beta)}{\beta_{\text{twiss}}}$$

 $\begin{array}{c|c} \underline{Example:} & \mathsf{R}=75\mathsf{m}, & \\ & \mathsf{E}=200 \ \text{MeV}, & \\ & \epsilon_{\mathsf{N}}=3 \ \text{mm mrad}, & \\ & \mathsf{N}=6\times10^9 \ \text{proton/m} & \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \Delta \nu=-0.4 & \\ & \Delta \nu=-0.4 & \\ & & \mathsf{Space \ charge \ tune} & \\ & & \mathsf{depression}^{"} & \end{array}$



Space Charge Effect

A real beam has a variation in particle density, and therefore, the space charge tune shift varies across the bunch (both in the transverse and in the longitudinal directions).



tune shift in the tails.



Space Charge Tune Shift

A real beam is neither round nor uniform in particle density. Particles in high density regions will be "tune-depressed" more than particles in low-density regions, giving rise to a distribution of particle tunes.

The overall effect is a *tune spread*, leading to a "tune-footprint" in the tune diagram:





Beam-Beam Tune Shift

In a colliding beam accelerator, two beams are circulating in opposite directions and pass through each other at certain interaction points.

During this time, the particles in one beam feel the electric and magnet forces of the particles in the other beam.



In this case, the force vectors on a test particle in one beam, due to the fields in the other beam, are in the same direction. Both are a defocusing effect.



Beam-Beam Tune Shift

Using the same procedure as in the space charge case, and assuming a highly relativistic beam ($v \approx c$) we find that the beam-beam tune shift is given by:

$$\Delta v_{\text{beam-beam}} = \frac{nr_o}{4\varepsilon_n}$$
 with, $\varepsilon_N = \frac{\pi a^2 \gamma}{\beta_{\text{twiss}}}$

Because the beams only overlap and "feel" each other for a short time, this tune shift is much smaller than the space charge tune shift.



e-P Instability

An "electron-Proton" instability can be generated when the proton beam interacts with ambient electrons in the vacuum chamber.



Scenario (simplified):

- 1) Ambient electron is accelerated through beam potential
- 2) Electron strikes the wall on the opposite side and ejects more electrons
- 3) These electrons are accelerated through the beam and strike the opposite side wall, ejecting more electrons.
- 4) If electrons live until the beam returns on the next pass, the "electron cloud" grows until it causes an instability in the proton beam.

Observed e-P Instability at SNS

- Instability was *fast*: 20 200 turns.
- Instability was observed in both planes vertical plane was stronger.





Wakefields and Impedances

Since particles travel in the accelerator environment, with beam pipes and magnets, etc, they induce fields in the accelerator structures. These fields can act back on a trailing particle.



Wakefields are also generated in a conducting pipe near the intersection of a geometry change.





We can write down the radial force, F_r , on the test particle from the upstream annulus of charge, as a general solution of Laplace's equation for axisymmetric boundary conditions in cylindrical coordinates:

$$F_r = eQ_m mr^{m-1} \cos(m\theta) W_m(s)$$
$$Q_m = \int \rho r^m \cos(m\theta) r dr d\theta dz$$

The m's represent different possible charge distributions in the annulus.

 Q_m is the multipole factor for the charge distribution, and $W_m(s)$, is the wakefield of the annulus, it represents the response of the vacuum chamber to the beam, and is found by solving Maxwell's equations, with boundary conditions matched for the particular environment.

Example: Macroparticle Model

Consider a simplified example where we approximate a beam as two macroparticles, each with half of the beam charge, Ne/2, and separate by a distance s, equal to the length of the beam:



We let the particles have different offsets from the axis, and we assume that they are propagating in a uniform focusing channel (also known as the smooth approximation), which leads to equal betatron frequencies.

The equation of motion in the absence of wakefields, and in the time domain is given by:

$$\ddot{x} + \omega_{\beta}^2 x = 0$$

Example (continued)...: Macroparticle Multipole

The leading particle can be considered as one small chunk of a uniform annulus of charge (m=1 case in previous equations), at the radius $r = x_1$.

Our leading particle is like a little chunk of the annulus, at $r=x_1$

$$Q_m = \int \rho r^m \cos(m\theta) r dr d\theta dz \rightarrow Q_1 = \int \rho r \cos(\theta) r dr d\theta dz$$

Translating this into Cartesian integral, with x=rcos θ , and using the δ function operator to identify the location of the macroparticle, we have:

$$Q_1 = \int \frac{Ne}{2} \delta(x - x_1) \delta(y) \delta(z - ct) x \, dx \, dy \, dz$$

 $Q_1 = \frac{Ne}{2}x_1$

This charge is upstream a distance of z_o =ct ahead of the second particle, where we have the force.

Example (continued)...: The Force

Using the m=1 term and our charge multipole, we can write down the force on the second particle due to the lingering fields (wake fields) of the first particle.

$$F_r = eQ_m mr^{m-1} \cos(m\theta) W_m(s) \rightarrow F_r = eQ_1 W_1 \cos(\theta) \Big|_{\theta=0}$$
$$F_x = eQ_1 W_1 = \frac{e^2 N}{2} W_1 x_1$$

But x_1 obeys the betatron equation of motion with constant frequency:

$$\ddot{x}_1 + \omega_\beta^2 x_1 = 0 \longrightarrow x_1 = \hat{x}_1 \cos(\omega_\beta t)$$

And finally, the force on the trailing particle is:

$$F_x = \frac{e^2 N}{2m\gamma} W_1 \hat{x} \cos(\omega_\beta t)$$

Example (continued)...: The Eq. of Motion

The second particle obeys the betatron equation of motion with frequency ω_{β} , but it also experiences the force from the leading particle.

Combining both of these into one equation, we arrive at the equation of motion for the second particle:

$$\ddot{x}_2 + \omega_\beta^2 x_2 = \frac{N e^2 W_1 \hat{x}_1}{2\gamma m} \cos(\omega_\beta t)$$

This is the equation for a driven harmonic oscillator. And we are driving right on the resonance frequency!!

Example (continued)...: The Instability

Solving the driven harmonic oscillator equation of motion, we finally arrive at: $Ne^2W_1(s)$



Though many approximations were used in this example, the basic principles carry over to a real machine and lead to a phenomenon called "Beam break up".



Wakefields vs. Impedances

In practice, in can be very difficult to calculate the wakefield for real accelerator beams and vacuum chamber geometries.

It's often easier to work with the Fourier transpose of the wakefield, which is the Impedance, which we can beak into pieces that are transverse and parallel to beam motion. The impedance per unit length is written:

$$\frac{Z_o^{\perp}}{L} = \frac{1}{ic} \int e^{i\omega s/c} W(s) ds = \frac{c}{\omega} \frac{Z_o^{\parallel}}{L}$$

The impedance is the frequency domain representation of the wakefield. Once we have calculated or measured on quantity (wakefield or impedance) we get the other almost for free (just a Fourier transform).

More on Impedance

The impedance is a complex resistance, so a beam with current I_{beam} will induce a voltage proportional to the impedance:

$$V(\omega) = -I_{beam}(\omega)Z(\omega)$$

Because of this, we are often able to measure the impedance of an accelerator structure in the lab, using a probe and a network analyzer. In a number of cases we can also come up with analytical formulas for the impedance; calculations of wakefields in the time domain can amount to a much more laborious task.

Many machines under design come up with "Impedance budgets", such that the total sum of the impedances of individual components in the machine is less than the threshold value for instability.

SNS Extraction Kicker Instability



This was an observed transverse impedance instability in the SNS ring. This is caused by a high transverse impedance in the ring extraction kickers.