Lecture 8
Cryomodule Design

J. G. Weisend II
Goals

- Define cryomodule
- Describe the two principal types of cryomodules and their relative advantages
- Discuss cryomodule requirements
- Design considerations
- Present examples of cryomodules
  - TESLA
  - LCLS II
  - ESS

Note: some of this talk is taken from Tom Peterson’s 2019 USPAS lecture
Cryomodules are cryostats designed to contain Superconducting Radio Frequency (SRF) cavities

- The term cryomodule was originally coined at Jlab.

SRF cavities are a very popular application of superconductivity in accelerators. There are easily more than a dozen SRF based accelerators in operation, under construction or under design worldwide. Some of these applications are very large scale.

The principles of cryomodule design are generally applicable to all cryostats.

One feature of cryomodules is that due to losses in RF superconductivity, cryomodules may have large dynamic heat loads. This feature can drive design choices.

- He II (lecture 13) at ~ 2 K is the most common coolant

- The design and prototyping of a new cryomodule can take a number of years.
Cryomodule Types

Cryomodules can be divided into two principal types:

1. Separated Cryomodules

   The cryomodules in the beam line are separated from each other by room temperature sections that contain other beam line components such as magnets and beam diagnostics. The cryomodules typically have their own vacuum space and are cooled via a cryogenic distribution line that runs parallel to the beam line. In 2 K systems, the He II is created at each cryomodule. Examples include ESS, SNS, JLAB, Sprial 2, FRIB

2. String Cryomodules

   The cryomodules are connected together in a long string of common vacuum and cryogenic space. There are no room temperature sections and no parallel cryogenic distribution line. The cryomodules are cooled in series and in the case of 2 K systems the He II is created at one end of the string. Examples include: XFEL, LCLS II, SHINE, ILC
Example of a Separated Cryomodule: ESS
Example of a String
Cryomodule: XFEL

Cryogenic distribution of
40K -> 80K circuit (serial)
5K -> 8K circuit (serial)
2K circuit (supply parallel, return serial)

warm gas collection pipe
40/80 K return, shield cooling
40/80 K forward
8 K return, shield cooling
5 K forward
2.2 K forward

Injector 2 (not shown)
2 K return
module string 9-10
module string 2
module string 1

cryogenic unit (9-10 strings), 1.7 km
Separated Cryomodules

Advantages:

• Flexibility and maintainability. Depending on design details, cryomodules may be repaired or replaced without warming up large sections of the accelerator.
• Separate vacuum spaces can reduce accident consequences
• Components not requiring cryogenic temperatures such as beam diagnostics can remain warm
• May be able to handle higher total heat loads

Disadvantages:

• Higher cost
• Higher static heat loads
String Cryomodules

Advantages:

• Lower cost: no need for parallel transfer line and fewer valves required
• Fewer warm/cold transitions result in lower static heat loads

Disadvantages:

• Less flexibility. Entire string may have to be warmed up to replace or repair one cryomodule
• Common vacuum space can increase consequences of accidents
• May not be able to handle high dynamic loads
• All components have to function at cryogenic temperatures
Cryomodule requirements
Major components

- Dressed RF cavities
- RF power input couplers
- Intermediate temperature thermal shield or shields
- Heat exchanger for 4.5 K to 2.2 K precooling of the liquid supply flow if stand-alone style
- Cryogenic valves if stand-alone style
- Pipe and cavity support structure
- Instrumentation -- RF, pressure, temperature, etc.
- Connections for helium supply and return
Cryomodule requirements
Major interfaces

- Connections for helium supply and return
- Vacuum vessel support structure
- Beam tube connections at the cryomodule ends
- RF waveguide to input couplers
- Instrumentation connectors on the vacuum shell
- Alignment fiducials on the vacuum shell with reference to cavity positions.
Design Considerations

- Cooling arrangement for integration into cryo system
- Pipe sizes for steady-state and emergency venting
- Pressure stability factors
- Heat load estimates and uncertainty
- Options for handling 4.5 K (or perhaps 5 K - 8 K) thermal intercept flow
- Alignment and support stability
- Thermal contraction and fixed points with closed ends
Design Considerations

- **Support structure**
  - Stiffness of pipe if used as support backbone
  - Or other support structure options

- **Emergency venting scenarios drive pipe sizes and influence segmentation**
  - Cold MAWP may be low, driving up pipe sizes and/or reducing spacing between relief vent ports
  - Trade-off of pipe size with vent spacing
  - Thermal shield pipe may also require frequent venting
    - 5 K may have large surface area for large heat flux
    - 70 K helium typically starts at a high pressure

- **Liquid management length**
  - May want to subdivide strings for liquid management due to large specific liquid flow rate per cavity
Design Approach - Survey of existing designs

We conducted a preliminary survey of existing cryostat designs. Some of the representative design are shown here ...
Example #1
TESLA Cryomodule

- Developed during the 1990’s at DESY Lab (Hamburg, Germany) as the SRF solution for the proposed International Linear Collider (ILC)
  - 4 generations of TESLA cryomodule have been designed based on optimization and prototype results.

ILC Design Drivers
- Cost – MultiBillion $ project. Anything that can be done to reduce cost will make the project more likely
- Heat Leak - SRF cavities have dynamic heat loads but large number of cryomodules also drives the design to reduce static heat leak
- Reliability & availability – frequent repairs just aren’t an option – drives accessibility decisions plus extensive QA/QC program
- Presence of superconducting magnets (4.5 K now 2 K)
- Low pressure return line must be sized large enough so that pressure drop in vapor is small enough that the bath temperature is 2 K at the cavities furthest from the refrigerator
- Tight alignment requirements for both magnets and cavities

These requirements led to the choice of string style cryomodules.
Early Design Choices

- Long cryogenic stretches with a minimum number of warm / cold transitions
  - Reduces heat leak
  - Reduces cost

- No parallel transfer line to move fluids
  - Cryomodules themselves are the transfer line
  - Reduces heat leak and cost

- Multiple thermal shields and MLI blankets
  - Adds costs but reduces heat leak at low temperatures

- Use He vapor line as structural backbone
Early Design Choices

- Use welded connections whenever possible – minimize cold flange connections
  - Reduces cost
  - Improves reliability

- Design system so that cavities & magnets can be aligned during warm assembly & maintain their alignment during cool down
- Eight 9 cell SRF cavities + 1 s/c magnet package
- Components are tied to 300 mm pipe strongback
- 2 thermal shields (40/80 K and 5 K)
- Cold mass hangs from 3 support posts
  - Cold Mass along with 2 outer posts move towards fixed center post during cool down (ends move 15 mm)
- Thermal shields are made many Al pieces connected via threaded fasteners
- Thermal shield pipes are SS, connected to shields via copper straps
1st Generation TESLA CM
Note position of 2 phase pipe & use of copper straps
Side View of 1st Generation TESLA Cryomodule
(each end of 300 mm tube shrinks 15 mm upon cooldown)
TESLA Cryomodule
Coupler Movement

- Power couplers have a fixed position to the outside world

- Movement of 300 mm pipe upon cool down means you can:
  - Rigidly fix cavities to 300 mm pipe and have flexible couplers
  - Fix cavities in position with couplers and let 300 mm pipe move wrt the cavities

- 1st generation design used flexible coupler option
Changes to 2nd Generation Design

- Redesign of Aluminum shields
  - Larger sections welded rather than connected by fasteners – significant savings in cost & ease of assembly
  - “Finger joints” for weld relief

- Use Al shield cooling pipes extruded with shields and Al/SS transition joints at interconnects
  - Eliminates copper straps – significant cost savings & reliability improvement
  - CERN LHC approach

- Rationalize tolerances for vacuum vessel
  - Cost savings
  - More realistic results for coupler interfaces
**TESLA Static Heat Leak Measurements**  
(note total 2 K heat load is ~ 7 W)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature Level</th>
<th>Predicted Heat Leak (W)</th>
<th>Measured Heat Leak (W) Cryomodule #1 (alone)</th>
<th>Measured Heat Leak (W) Cryomodule #1 (with #2)</th>
<th>Measured Heat Leak (W) Cryomodule #2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70 K</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>77.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5 K</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 K</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where did the excessive static heat load from the 1st prototype CM come from?
Alignment Results
Cryomodule 2

- Measured in Real time via Wire Position Measuring System
- ILC requirements +/- 0.5 mm for cavities and +/-0.25 mm for magnet
Changes to 3\textsuperscript{rd} Generation Design

- Moved 2 Phase line & reduced vacuum vessel to a smaller standard size pipe
- Relocated 3\textsuperscript{rd} support post closer to s/c magnet assembly to improve stiffness
- Combination of linear bearings & Invar rod decouples cavities from 300 mm tube and allows use of semi-rigid couplers
- This design is the basis of the 100 Cryomodules used in the European XFEL at DESY.
3rd Generation TESLA Cryomodule
ILC Prototype

2 K 2-phase
cavity
coupler
cool down/warmup
4.5 K return
80 K return
2 K return
2.2 K forward
4.5 K forward
40 K forward
support

300 mm
Linear Bearing Concept for Cavity Connection
Current ILC Design (4th Generation)

- Move magnet package to center of cryomodule
  - Optimal position for maintaining magnet alignment

- Operate magnet at 2 K rather than 4.5 K
  - Decouples thermal shield circuit from magnet allowing shield to be optimized at 5 – 8 K.
  - Reduced temperature can yield higher magnet performance & eliminates any impact due to shield circuit issues
  - Requires conduction cooled HTS lead – issues with heat sinking

- Will likely eliminate the 5 K shield but keep 5 K heat sink.
The TESLA cryomodule design is very popular

In addition to being used at the European XFEL (DESY) it is also used with some variations at other large FEL projects

- SHINE (Shanghai) – Under construction
- LCLS II (SLAC, USA) – Under construction
- NLS UK - Proposed

It is also of course the cryomodule for ILC (if built)
Example 2 : LCLS II

- Like XFEL, a Free Electron LASER driven by an electron linac.
- But LCLS II is a continuous wave rather than pulse RF system so there is much more dynamic heat load to the 2 K space.
- In addition, the SLAC tunnel has a significant slope to it.
- These factors required changes:
  - Series Parallel mode with separate He II spaces in each cryomodule.
  - Larger connection between Cavities and He II to stay within 1 W/m² heat transfer limit.
  - Removal of 5 K thermal shield.
Cryomodules Cooled in "Series Parallel" mode
LCLS II Cryomodule: an adaptation of the XFEL design
The European Spallation Source (ESS) is a neutron source that use a high energy Proton linac to produce neutrons via spallation.

Similar though much brighter than the SNS (USA) with a much higher energy linac (2 GeV and 5 MW).

Only 43 cryomodules required so cost and heat leak per cryomodule less of an issue than ILC or even XFEL.

However the goal for availability of ESS is 95% thus reliability and maintainability are very important. This leads to:

- Having as many systems at room temperature as possible.
- Having the ability to repair or replace cryomodules without warming up the entire linac.

This leads us to separated cryomodules with a parallel transfer line.
The ESS Linac Cryogenic System

Cryogenic System of the Optimus Linac

- Linac Cryoplant
- Cryogenic Transfer Line (75 m)
- Splitting box
- Cryogenic Distribution Line (310 m) comprising 43 valve boxes
- Auxiliary process lines
- Endbox

- 21 High Beta Cryomodules (174 m)
- 9 Medium Beta Cryomodules (75 m)
- 13 Spoke Cryomodules (54 m)

Superconducting section of the Optimus Linac (303 m)
Connection between Elliptical Cavity CM and Cryogenic Distribution Line

1) He II produced at each CM
2) Design allows independent warmup and cooldown of each CM
3) Access ports allow some in situ repair
Elliptical Cavity Cryomodules

- Similar to CEBAF/SNS cryomodule concept with 4 cavities per cryomodule
- Common design for medium (6 cells) and high beta (5 cells) cavities
Prototype Cryomodule at ESS
CDS-EL Components installation in Tunnel